Novel Chimeric Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors: A Series of Lapatinib Hybrides as Potent Inhibitors of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR), Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2), and Histone Deacetylase Activity

Siavosh Mahboobi,*,† Andreas Sellmer,† Matthias Winkler,† Emerich Eichhorn,† Herwig Pongratz,† Thomas Ciossek,‡ Thomas Baer,‡ Thomas Maier,‡ and Thomas Beckers*,§

† Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry I, University of Regensburg, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany, ‡ Nycomed GmbH, Therapeutic Area Oncology, Byk-Gulden Strasse 2, D-78467 Konstanz, Germany, and § Oncotest GmbH, Institute for Experimental Oncology, Am Flughafen 12-14, D-79108 Freiburg, Germany

Received June 18, 2010

Reversible lysine-specific acetylation has been described as an important posttranslational modification, regulating chromatin structure and transcriptional activity in the case of core histone proteins. Histone deacetylases (HDAC) are considered as a promising target for anticancer drug development, with 2a as pan-HDAC inhibitor approved for cutanous T-cell lymphoma therapy and several other HDAC inhibitors currently in preclinical and clinical development. Protein kinases are a wellestablished target for cancer therapy with the EGFR/HER2 inhibitor 5 approved for treatment of advanced, HER2 positive breast cancer as a prominent example. In the present report, we present a novel strategy for cancer drug development by combination of EGFR/HER2 kinase and HDAC inhibitory activity in one molecule. By combining the structural features of 5 with an (E) -3-(aryl)-Nhydroxyacrylamide motif known from HDAC inhibitors like 1 or 3, we obtained selective inhibitors for both targets with potent cellular activity (target inhibition and cytotoxicity) of selected compounds 6a and 6c. By combining two distinct pharmacologically properties in one molecule, we postulate a broader activity spectrum and less likelihood of drug resistance in cancer patients.

Introduction

Carcinogenesis and tumor suppression are controlled by genetic as well as epigenetic events. Unlike genetic aberrations, epigenetic changes in cancer cells such as DNA hypermethylation and histone deacetylation are largely reversible alterations. Histone deacetylase ($\widehat{H}DAC^a$) class 1 and 2 enzymes with 11 isoforms are considered as promising targets for anticancer drug development.¹ Various inhibitors of HDAC class 1 and/or class 2 enzymes (HDACi) are currently evaluated in clinical phase 1 and 2 trials. 2^{-6}

Substrates of HDAC enzymes are core histone proteins H2A/B, H3, and H4, highly conserved proteins complexed with DNA and building up chromatin. Histone proteins are post-translationally modified by various means, including methylation and acetylation of N-terminal lysine residues.

The reversible lysine-specific acetylation of core histone proteins has been well described as a mechanism to regulate chromatin structure, transcription factor accessibility, and finally transcriptional activity. Negatively charged DNA binds to positively charged lysine residues in the aminoterminal tails of histones, which is abrogated by lysine N-terminal acetylation.⁷ HDAC isoenzymes are essential for deacetylating histone proteins, allowing tight binding of DNA and formation of a closed, transcriptionally inactive chromatin conformation. Inhibitors of HDAC reactivate gene expression but also affect acetylation of nonhistone targets which have been described. 8 On a cellular level, HDACi's reactivate tumor suppressor genes exhibit cell cycle arresting and pro-apoptotic properties.⁹ Several HDACi's exhibiting the hydroxamic acid or benzamide motif as a Zn^{2+} complexing headgroup are currently in preclinical and clinical development (Figure 1, compounds $1-4$) as represented by the hydroxamic acid analogues "1 $(PXD101)$ ",¹⁰ 2a (phase II), "2b (PCI(CRA)-24781)"¹¹ (phase II), "3 (LBH589),"¹²or the benzamide analogue "4 (SNDX/MS 275)" (phase II), 9 to name just a few.

Inhibitors of protein tyrosine or serine/threonine kinases are another well described drug class. The human epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR, HER1) and the family member HER2 (c-erbB2) have been linked to various human malignancies like breast, head and neck, pancreatic, colon, gastric, and nonsmall cell lung cancer. Small synthetic inhibitors (SMI) as well as monoclonal antibodies have been developed for cancer therapy. The SMI 5 is one example

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. For medicinal chemistry (S.M.): phone, $(+49)$ (0) 941 943 4824; fax, $(+49)$ (0) 941-9431737; E-mail, siavosh.mahboobi@chemie.uni-regensburg.de. For pharmacology (T.B.): phone, $(+49)$ (0) 761 5155916; fax, $(+49)$ (0) 761 5155955; E-mail, Thomas. Beckers@oncotest.de.

^a Abbreviations: AMC, 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin; ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; BOP, 1-benzotriazolyloxy-tris-(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate; CDK, cell division kinase; CML, chronic myeloid leukemia; HDACi, histone deacetylase inhibitor; HDAC, histone deacetylase; nd, not determined; InsR, insulin receptor; NSCLC, nonsmall cell lung cancer; PDGFR, platelet derived growth factor receptor; Plk1, polo-like kinase 1; PKA, protein kinase A; rHDAC, recombinant HDAC; SAHA, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid; SDS, sodium dodecylsulfate; SDS-PAGE, SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; SMI, small molecule inhibitor; TSA, trichostatin A.

Figure 1. Chemical structures of selected HDACi's in preclinical and clinical developments (1-4), 5, and novel chimerically designed compounds $(6-8)$.

approved for treatment of HER2 positive advanced breast cancer in combination with Capecitabine.¹³

In this report, we present a novel strategy for cancer drug development, combining the pharmacological activity of EGFR/HER2 kinase inhibition with inhibition of HDAC class 1/2 enzymes. As a scaffold, 5 was selected to include a HDAC inhibitory headgroup ("warhead") in order to combine, in general, the antiproliferative activity of EGFR/HER2 inhibition with the pro-apoptotic, transcriptional reprogramming activity of a HDACi. Of the various HDAC inhibitory headgroups, we choose the hydroxamic acid and benzamide motifs for combination with the [3-chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenyl]quinazolin-4-yl-amine core structure of 5 to obtain chimerically designed compounds.

Chemistry

The synthetic route to obtain the desired target compounds is given in the following (Scheme 1): ring closure of 2-amino-5 iodo benzoic acid (9) according to Ninishino¹⁴ formed the 1Hquinazolin-4-one system 10. Chlorination with phosphorus oxychloride and one-pot S_N -reaction¹⁵ by 3-chloro-4-(3fluoro-benzyloxy)phenylamine (15), yielded the desired [3 chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenyl]-(6-iodo quinazolin-4 yl)amine (16) as a central intermediate. The aniline derivative 15 was easily accessible from its nitro-precursor 14^{16} by

catalytic reduction with hydrogen over sulfided platinum.¹⁶ The nitro-precursor 14 itself was prepared according to Wallace et al.¹⁶ by S_{NAr} -reaction of 2-chloro-1-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene and (3-fluorophenyl)methanol in DMF solution.

The desired furanyl- and thiophenyl- and phenyl-N-hydroxy-acrylamides 6a-6d (Scheme 2) were obtained from 16 by Suzuki coupling with the respective formylboronic acids $17a-17d$ analogous to Hosoya,¹⁷ Wittig olefination,¹⁸ and deprotection of the resulting acrylic acid *tert*-butyl esters (19a-19d) with trifluoroacetic acid. Amidation of the acrylic acids 20a-20d with commercially available $NH₂OTHP$ (O-(tetrahydropyran-2-yl)hydroxylamine) by use of BOP (1-benzotriazolyloxy-tris- (dimethylamino)phosphoniumhexafluorophosphat) as coupling reagent¹⁹ and cleavage of the tetrahydro-pyran-2-yl protected acrylamides 21a-21d led to the N-hydroxy-acrylamides 6a-6d.

Analogously, the carboxylic acid (2-aminophenyl)amides 7a and 7b as well as the carboxylic acid hydroxyamides 8a and 8b were prepared as shown in Scheme 3 by amidation of the carboxylic acids with $NH₂OTHP$ or the mono protected phenylendiamine 25, followed by deprotection with trifluoroacetic acid (for details, see Experimental Section). The mono protected phenylendiamine 25 itself was easily accessible by reaction of *o*-phenylendiamine (24) with BOC₂O in THF solution.²⁰

The (E) -N- $(2$ -aminophenyl)-3-(furan-2-yl)acrylamide 7c as well as the *N*-(2-aminophenyl)cinnamamides **7d** and **7e** were

Scheme 1. Preparation of [3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenyl]-(6-iodoquinazolin-4-yl)amine (16) As a Central Intermediate

obtained in a similar manner by amidation 19 of the acrylic acids $20a-20d$ with the mono protected phenylendiamine $25²⁰$ and deprotection of the tert-butyl carbamates (28a, 28c, and 28d) with trifluoroacetic acid (Scheme 4). Selective catalytic hydrogenation in presence of a benzyloxy- and a chloroarylgroup by use of P_2 yielded the N-(2-aminophenyl)-3-phenylpropanamide 7f without additional cleavage.

Results and Discussion

The synthesized chimeric compounds were characterized in biochemical assays for HDAC as well as protein-kinase inhibitory activity. In addition, cellular target specific activity was assessed in respective cancer cell lines. Finally, the cytotoxic activity was determined in EGFR or HER2 overexpressing cancer cell lines for selected analogues.

Pharmacological Profile in Biochemical and Cellular, Target-Specific Assays. The in vitro data for inhibition of HeLa nuclear extract HDAC activity, a mixture of HDAC isoenzymes 1, 2, 3, 5, and 8, recombinant rHDAC 1, 3, and 6 as well as cellular data for induction of histone H3 hyperacetylation are compiled in Table 1. As references, 5 as well as 2a and 4 are included as representatives for hydroxamate and benzamide analogues. Combining the structural features a [3-chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenyl]quinazolin-4-ylamine (substructure of 5 in Figure.1) with an (E) -3-(aryl)-Nhydroxyacrylamide motif taken from 1 (Figure 1; compounds 6a-6c) leads to inhibition of nuclear extract HDAC, rHDAC1 and rHDAC6 in the submicromolar (6a) or even nanomolar range (6c) as well as cellular histone H3 hyperacetylation. Compounds 6a to 6c also display very potent and specific inhibition of EGFR and HER2 kinase activity in biochemical assays, with 6c being only 2- to 5-fold less potent as Lapatinib (Table 2). The protein kinases PDGFRβ, InsR, Abl, CDK2, PKA, and Plk1 were chosen to evaluate kinase target selectivity.

A change of the substitution patterns from meta (6c) to para substitution drastically reduced HDAC inhibitory potency, as seen for 6d and the bioisosteric built up thiophene derivative 6b, while inhibition of EGFR and HER2 kinases was not affected. In modifications bearing the N-(2 aminophenyl)-benzamide substituent as a HDACi motif taken from 4, the potent inhibition of EGFR and HER2 kinase activity and selectivity are conserved. However, no significant HDAC inhibitory activity is evident for benzamide analogues 7a and 7b, structurally related to 8a and 8b.

To investigate the effect of the system vinylogous to benzamides, included in the HDAC-inhibitory structures 6a and 6c, we extended the study to the vinylogous benzamides $7c-7e$ as well as to benzamide 7f and the arylhydroxamates 8a and 8b. In the resulting benzamide and arylhydroxamate series, EGFR and HER2 kinases were strongly inhibited (Table 2). Regarding inhibition of HDAC enzymes, no activity is exhibited by benzamides $7a-7f$, independent from the substitution patterns (ortho or para), the nature of the A-ring system (Figure.1) in the substructure of 5, or from the linker between the benzamide structure and the A-ring system. This result is in contrast to our findings, published earlier, where combination of a benzamide motif with the imatinib pharmacophore led to chimeric HDAC class I inhibitors.²¹ Weak inhibition of HeLa nuclear extract HDAC, rHDAC 1, 3, and 8 class I enzymes activity with stronger inhibition of rHDAC6 as a class 2 representative was observed for the hydroxamate 8b. These compounds were potent inhibitors of EGFR and HER2 with presumably less selectivity (Table 2).

Cytotoxic Properties toward EGFR and HER2 Expressing Cancer Cell Lines. All compounds were tested for cytoxicity toward cancer cell lines either with EGFR (A431, Cal27) or HER2 (SKBR3, SKOV3) overexpression or of relevance for HDAC inhibitor testing (HeLa, A549). The data are summarized in Table 3, showing highly potent activity of 5 in the Cal27, SKBR3, and SKOV3 cell lines with a bottom plateau of the concentration-effect curve between 30% and 70%. In the EGFR overexpressing cal27 head and neck cancer cell line, 5 also strongly inhibited cellular EGF stimulated EGFR phosphorylation (IC₅₀ < 32 nM) with no effect on histone H3 acetylation (Figure 2B). N-hydroxyacrylamide analogues 6a and 6c were most interesting from the initial in vitro profiling experiments. Whereas 6a potently inhibited EGF stimulated EGFR phosphorylation in Cal27 cells (IC $_{50}$ < 32nM), 6c was less potent (IC₅₀ \approx 0.5 μ M) (Figure 2A). Nevertheless, 6c was nicely inducing histone H3 hyperacetylation at $1 \mu M$, behaving as a cellularly active, pharmacologically bifunctional compound. In the cytotoxicity profile, 6c was broadly active with IC₅₀ values $\leq 1 \mu M$ (Table 3) and a complete cell kill at higher concentrations.

Conclusions

Transferring the (E) -3-(aryl)-N-hydroxyacrylamide motif of 5, which is an EGFR/HER protein kinase inhibitor and a drug approved for treatment of advanced, HER2 positive

breast cancer, leads to chimeric compounds with 6c acting as truly pharmacologically chimeric compound. In biochemical assays, this prototypic analogue shows selective and potent inhibiton of EGFR/HER2 as well as HDAC enzymatic activity. In cellular assays exemplified by the head and neck cell line Cal27, EGFR phosphorylation and histone H3 acetylation are concentration dependently inhibited and induced, respectively. Between 0.1 and 1 μ M concentration, maximal target inhibitory effects are visible, correlating with an IC₅₀ of 0.59 μ M in the cytotoxicity assay. However, transferring the HDAC inhibitory benzamide motif so far resulted in compounds with conserved kinase but no HDAC inhibitory activity. By combination of two pharmacologically distinct properties in one molecule, we postulate a broader activity spectrum with less likelihood of drug resistance. Thus, chimeric HDAC-kinase inhibitors might constitute a new class of experimental cancer drugs worth to be studied in more detail.

Experimental Section

Biological Methods. Biochemical HDAC Assays. For rHDAC1 and rHDAC6 expression, a clonal HEK293 (ATCC CRL1573) human kidney cell line expressing the human rHDAC1 isoenzyme bearing a C-terminal Flag epitope was provided by E. Verdin, The Gladstone Institute/San Francisco, CA, USA. Human HDAC3- Flag was coexpressed with the SMRT DAD domain in Sf21 insect cells, whereas human HDAC8-Flag was expressed

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Carboxylic Acid (2-Aminophenyl)amides (7a, 7b) and Carboxylic Acid Hydroxyamides (8a, 8b)

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Phenylaminoacrylamides (7c-7e) and of N-(2-Aminophenyl)-3-(4-(4-(3-chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamino)quinazolin-6-yl)phenyl)propanamide (7f)

without cofactor in Sf21 cells. The rHDAC proteins were purified by M2-affinity gel chromatography according to the manufacture protocol (Sigma no. A2220). Purified protein samples were routinely analyzed by SDS-PAGE (12.5% or 10% Laemmli gels) followed by Coomassie stain and Western blotting using a FLAG-specific antibody (anti M2-POX antibody, Table 1. IC₅₀/EC₅₀ Values for Chimeric Lapatinib-HDAC Inhibitors As Tested for Inhibition of HeLa Nuclear Extract HDAC Activity, Recombinant HDAC Isoenzymes, and Induction of Cellular Histone H3 K^{9+14} Hyperacetylation^a

 a In general, experiments were done in replicate and mean values are shown (data for 4 and 2a from ref 22).

Sigma no. A8592) followed by ECL-detection (GE Healthcare). In addition, protein batches were analyzed by Western blotting for various HDAC isoenzymes including HDAC1, 3, 6, and 8.

The biochemical HDAC activity assay was essentially done as described by Wegener et al.²³

Biochemical Protein Kinase Assays. Active kinase proteins were either obtained from commercial suppliers (ProQinase,

 α In general, experiments were done in replicate and mean IC₅₀ values are shown.

Freiburg/Germany; InvitroGen/Panvera, Carlsbad, CA, USA) or prepared in-house. Experimental details of the flash-plate based radioactive enzyme assay have been described previously.²¹

Cellular Histone H3 Hyperacetylation Assay. To assess the cellular efficacy of a histone deacetylase inhibition, an assay was set up for use on the Cellomics "ArrayScan II" platform for a quantitative calculation of histone acetylation as described.²⁴

Cellular Proliferation Assay. The antiproliferative activity of selected compounds was evaluated using the tumor cell lines HeLa (cervical carcinoma, ATCC CCL-2), A549 (NSCLC, ATCC CCL-185), SKBR-3 (breast carcinoma, ATCC HTB-30), SKOV-3 (ovarian carcinoma, ATCC HTB-77), Cal27 (tongue carcinoma, ATCC CRL-2095), and A-431 (vulva carcinoma, ATCC CRL-2592). For quantification of cellular proliferation/ cytotoxicity, the Alamar Blue (Resazurin) cell viability assay was applied.²

Western Blot Analysis. For Western blot analysis, about 4×10^5 Cal27 cells/well in 6-well cell culture plates were treated with the test compounds for 16 h. Next, cells were stimulated with 100 ng/mL recombinant EGF for 5 min at room temperature before cell lysis. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris HCl pH8, 150 mM NaCl, 1v/v NP-40, 0.5% w/v sodium desoxycholate, 0.2% w/v disodium dodecylsulfate (SDS), 0.02% w/v NaN₃, 1 mM sodium vanadate, 20 mM NaF, 100 μg/mL PMSF, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, protease inhibitor mix/Roche and $50U/mL$ Benzonase) at 4 °C. Respective equal amounts of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE before transfer to polyvinylidendifluoride (PVDF) membrane (Biorad art. no. 162-0177) by semidry blotting. The following antibodies were used: monoclonal mouse antibody specific for β-actin (clone AC-12, Sigma art. no. A-5441), phosphorylated EGFR (Y1068, Cell Signaling), EGFR (Upstate), acetylated histone H3 (Cell Signaling), and histone H3 (Cell Signaling). As secondary antibodies, goat antirabbit IgG-HRP conjugated (Biorad: 170-6515), goat-antisheep (Santa Cruz) and goatantimouse IgG-HRP conjugated (Biorad: 170-6516) were used.

Chemical Procedures. General. NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Avance 300 MHz spectrometer at 300 K, using TMS as an internal standard. IR spectra (KBr or pure solid) were measured with a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer. Melting points were determined with a Büchi B-545. MS spectra were measured with a Finnigan MAT 95 (EI, 70 eV), or with a Finnigan Thermo Quest TSQ 7000 (ESI) (DCM/MeOH + 10 mmol/L NH4Ac), respectively. All reactions were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere. Elemental analyses were performed by the Analytical Laboratory of the University of Regensburg and are in a range of $\pm 0.4\%$ of the calculated values if not given otherwise, ensuring a purity $\geq 95\%$. Chemical names were created using ChemBioDraw Ulta 11.0 software.

Procedures and Analytical Data. 3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamine (15). Preparation analogous lit.¹⁶ as follows: 2-chloro-1-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)-4-nitrobenzene (14) (20.0 g; 70.9 mmol) was dissolved in THF (300 mL), dry sulfided platinum on carbon (Aldrich) (4.00 g) were added and the mixture was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere (10 atm) overnight. The platinum was filtered off over celite, washed with THF, the solvent removed under reduced pressure and the residue treated with light petrol to afford the title compound as an analytically pure solid. Yield (17.49 g; 98%). ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm) 4.98 (s, 2H, exchangeable), 5.04 (s, 2H), 6.54 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz, $^{4}J = 2.6$ Hz), 6.74 (d, 1H, $^{4}J = 2.6$ Hz), 6.92 (d, 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 7.09-7.15 (m, 1H), 7.25-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.37-7.45 (m, 1H).

[3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenyl]-(6-iodoquinazolin-4-yl)amine (16).²⁶ Preparation analogous to lit.¹⁵ as follows: To a mixture of 6-iodo-1H-quinazolin-4-one (10) $(6.80$ g; 25.0 mmol), toluene (5.0 mL), and POCl₃ (27.5 mmol; 2.60 mL), triethylamine (27.5 mmol; 3.81 mL) was added carefully. The mixture was heated to 80 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h, cooled to room temperature, a solution of 3-chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamine (15) (27.50 mmol; 6.92 g) in 2-butanone (20.0 mL) added, and the mixture stirred at 80 °C for another hour. The mixture was cooled to 0° C, and the yellow precipitate was filtered off and added to a NaOH solution (1N; 150 mL) by stirring. After 30 min, the yellow solid was filtered off, washed with water and a small amount of acetone, and dried in vacuo. Yield (8.38 g; 66%) analytical pure sample. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm) 5.26 (s, 2H), 7.15-7.22 $(m, 1H), 7.27$ (d, $1H, J = 9.1$ Hz), $7.29 - 7.35$ (m, $2H$), $7.43 - 7.51$ $(m, 1H), 7.56$ (d, $1H, J = 8.8$ Hz), 7.74 (dd, $1H, J = 9.1$ Hz, $^{4}J =$ 2.5 Hz), 8.02 (d, 1H, ${}^4J = 2.5$ Hz), 8.12 (dd; 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz, ${}^4J = 1.7$ Hz), 8.62 (s, 1H), 8.96 (d, ${}^4J = 1.7$ Hz), 9.90 (s, 1H) exchangeable).

General Procedure for Suzuki Coupling. Preparation analogous to Hosoya.¹⁷ A mixture of 16 (5.00 g; 9.88 mmol), the respective arylboronic acid (17a, 17b, 22b Aldrich; 17c, 17d Alfa Aesar; 22a Rare Chemicals) (13.1 mmol), (PPh₃)₂PdCl₂ (0.35 g; 0.5 mmol), DME (30 mL), ethanol (20 mL), and 2 M aqueous $Na₂CO₃$ (30 mL) was heated at 60 °C for 3 h. After cooling to room temperature, the crude product precipitated as a yellow solid. It was removed by filtration, washed with water, and dried in vacuo overnight. Crystallization from acetone afforded the title compound in sufficient purity for further synthesis. An analytical sample was obtained by column chromatography $(SiO₂; CH₂Cl₂/ethyl acetate = 4:1).$

Furane- and Benzene-carbaldehydes (18a, 18c). 5-{4-[3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamino]quinazolin-6-yl}furan-2-car**baldehyde (18a).**²⁶ Yield (3.65 g, 78%); mp 229.8-234.1 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm) 5.26 (s, 2H), 7.17 (dt, 1H, $J = 2.5$ Hz, $J = 8.5$, 7.26–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.40 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 7.43–7.50 Table 3. Cytotoxicity of the Chimeric HDAC Inhibitors Towards Selected Cancer Cell Lines, Namely A549 NSCL and HeLa Cervical Cancer (Source for HDAC Nuclear Activity), A431 Epidermoid Carcinoma, and Cal27 Head and Neck Cancer (EGFR Overexpression) and SKOV3 Ovarian and SKBR3 Breast Cancer (HER2 Overexpression) a

^a In general, experiments were done in replicate and mean IC₅₀ values are shown (data for 2a from Beckers et al., 2007²²).

 $(m, 1H), 7.68-7.74$ $(m, 2H), 7.84$ (d, $1H, J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.98 (d, $1H,$ $J = 2.5$ Hz), 8.28 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.1$ Hz, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 8.58 (s, 1H), 8.95 (d, 1H, $J = 0.8$ Hz), 9.66 (s, 1H), 10.10 (s, 1H, exchangeable). $p = \frac{1}{2}$ FSI m/z (%): 476 [M + H⁺]⁺ (37); 474 [M + H]⁺ (100). -p ESI m/z (%): 474 [M - H⁺]⁻ (37), 472 [M - H]⁻ (100). IR (KBr): 3399, 1673 cm^{-1}

3-{4-[3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamino]quinazolin-6-yl}benzaldehyde (18c). Yield (2.73 g, 54%) yellow crystals; mp 243.2-247.5 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm) 5.25 (s, 2H), 7.18 (dt, 1H, $J = 2.2$ Hz, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 7.28 (d, 1H, $J = 9.1$ Hz), 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.47 (dt, 1H, $J = 6.1$ Hz, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.74 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.2$ Hz, $J = 6.7$ Hz), 7.78 (t, 1H, $J = 5.4$ Hz), 7.86 (d, 1H,

 $J = 8.7$ Hz), 7.97 (td, 1H, $J = 1.2$ Hz, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 8.01 (d, 1H, $J = 2.6$ Hz), 8.21 (m, 2H), 8.38 (t, 1H, $J = 1.6$ Hz), 8.60 (s, 1H), 8.85 (d, 1H, J = 1.6 Hz), 9.94 (s, 1H, exchangeable), 10.14 $(s, 1H)$. EI-MS (70 eV) m/z (%): 483 (18) $[M^+]$, 374 (100) $[M-C₇H₆F]⁺$. IR (KBr): 3380, 2729, 1696 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₈- $H_{19}ClFN_3O_2 \cdot \frac{1}{5}H_2O$: C, H, N.

Acrylic Acid tert-Butyl Esters (19a-d). A mixture of the respective aryl-2-carbaldehydes 18a-18d (1.0 mmol), (tert-butoxycarbonylmethyl)triphenylphosphonium chloride (0.56 g; 1.02 mmol), NaOH (0.08 g; 2.04 mmol), and NEt3 (0.3 g; 3.06 mmol) in $CH_2Cl_2(100 \text{ mL})$ and water (2.04 mL) was stirred at room temperature overnight. The organic layer was separated,

Figure 2. Western blot analysis of Cal27 head and neck cancer cells treated for 16 h with compounds $5(A)$ and 6c or 6a (B). As a control, cells treated with $1 \mu M$ 5, 6a, or 6c were documented by phase contrast microscopy in (C).

subsequently washed with satd NH₄Cl solution $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography ($DCM/EE = 1:1$) and precipitated by addition of light petrol.

3-{5-[4-(3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamino]quinazolin-6-yl}furan-2-yl)acrylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (19a). Yield (0.43 g, 76%) yellow crystals; mp 201.3-201.4 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO d_6) δ (ppm) 1.50 (s, 9H), 5.28 (s, 2H), 6.50 (d, 1H, J_{trans} = 15.6 Hz), 7.13 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 7.19 (dt, 1H, $J = 2.4$ Hz, $J =$ 8.6 Hz), 7.28-7.36 (m, 4H), 7.44 (d, 1H, J_{trans} = 15.6 Hz), 7.45-7.52 (m, 1H), 7.72 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.5$ Hz, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 7.82 $(d, 1H, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz})$, 7.99 $(d, 1H, J = 2.5 \text{ Hz})$, 8.29 $(dd, 1H, J =$ 1.5 Hz, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 8.57 (s, 1H), 8.87 (d, 1H, $J = 1.1$ Hz), 9.97 (s, 1H, exchangeable). +p ESI m/z (%): 574 [M + H⁺] (39), 572 $[M + H^+]$ (100%). -p ESI m/z (%): 572 $[M - H^+]$ (40), 570 $[M - H^+]^-$. IR (KBr): 2977, 1697 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₃₂H₂₇ClFN₃-O4): C, H, N.

3-{-[4-(3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamino]quinazolin-6-yl)phenyl)acrylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (19c). Yield (2.30 g, 76%) colorless crystals; mp 202.9–203.0 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO d_6) δ (ppm) 1.51 (s, 9H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 6.73 (d, 1H, $J = 16.0$ Hz), 7.19 (dt, 1H, $J = 2.2$ Hz, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.32 (m, 3H), 7.48 (m, 1H), 7.60 (t, 1H, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 7.69 (d, 1H, $J = 16.0$ Hz), 7.76 (m, 2H), 7.87 (d, 1H, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 7.94 (d, 1H, $J = 7.9$ Hz), 8.03 (d, 1H, $J = 2.6$ Hz), 8.20 (m, 1H), 8.29 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.7$ Hz, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 8.60 (s, 1H), 8.83 (d, 1H, $J = 1.5$ Hz), 9.90 (s, 1H, exchangeable). EI-MS (70 eV) m/z (%): 581 (18) [M⁺ '], 236 (100) [M-C₁₁H₁₄F']⁺. IR (KBr): 3379, 2982, 1676 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₃₄H₂₉ClFN₃O₃) C, H, N.

Synthesis of Acrylic Acids (20a-20d) by Cleavage of the Acrylic Acid tert-Butyl Esters. The respective acrylic acid tert-butyl ester (3.50 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL TFA. The mixture was stirred at 20 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ for 30 min, added to water (50 mL) by stirring, and the yellow precipitate filtered off, washed with water, and dried in vacuo.

3-{5-[4-(3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamino]quinazolin-**6-yl}furan-2-yl)acrylic Acid (20a).** Yield (0.18 g, 99%) yellow crystals; mp 268.8–268.9 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm) 5.27 $(s, 2H)$, 6.53 (d, 1H, $J_{trans} = 15.9$ Hz), 7.11 (d, 1H, $J = 0$ 3.6 Hz). 7.18 (dt, 1H, $J = 2.0$ Hz, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 7.26–7.35 (m, 4H, aromat), 7.41-7.50 (m, 1H), 7.46 (d, 1H, $J_{trans} = 15.9$ Hz), 7.73 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.5$ Hz, $J = 8.9$ Hz), 7.82 (d, 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 8.00 (d, 1H, $J =$ 2.5 Hz), 8.27 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.6$ Hz, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 8.57 (s, 1H), 8.86 (d, 1H, $J = 1.4$ Hz), 9.96 (s, 1H, exchangeable), 12.45 (s, 1H, exchangeable). +p ESI m/z (%): 518 [M + H⁺] (37), 516 [M + H⁺] (100) . - p ESI m/z (%): 516 [M - H⁺]⁻ (40), 514 [M + H⁺]⁺ (100). IR (KBr): 3406, 1673 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₈H₁₉ClFN₃O₄) C, H, N.

3-{3-[4-(3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamino]quinazolin-6-yl}-phenyl)acrylic Acid (20c).Yield (0.78 g, 99%) orange crystals; mp 275.1–275.2 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm) 5.29 (s, 2H), 6.73 (d, 1H, $J = 16.0$ Hz), 7.19 (dt, 1H, $J = 2.2$ Hz, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 7.33 (m, 3H), 7.48 (m, 1H), 7.62 (t, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz), 7.71 (m, 2H), 7.80 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.92 (m, 2H), 7.96 (d, 1H, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 8.19 (s, 1H), 8.42 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.6$ Hz, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 8.83 (s, 1H), 8.94 (d, 1H, $J = 1.2$ Hz), 10.88 (s, 1H, exchangeable), 12.58 (s, 1H, exchangeable). ES-MS (DCM/MeOH $+$ 10 mmol/L NH₄Ac) m/z (%): 526 (100) [M + H⁺]⁺. IR (KBr): 3442, 2934, 1671 cm⁻¹. Anal. $(C_{30}H_{21}CIFN_3O_3 \cdot \frac{3}{4}TFA) C$, H, N.

General Procedure for Amidation by Use of BOP as Coupling Reagent: Preparation of compounds 21a-21d, 26a-26b and 27a-27b. A mixture of the respective carboxylic acid (1.15 mmol), BOP (0.53 g; 1.20 mmol), the respective amine (2-aminophenyl) carbamic acid *tert*-butyl ester²⁰ (25) or *O*-tetrahydropyran-2yl)hydroxylamine (Aldrich)) (1.15 mmol), and NE t_3 (0.24 g; 2.40 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The solution was added to water (50 mL) by stirring, the precipitating product filtered off, washed with water, and dried in vacuo. Crystallization from the respective solvent given afforded the pure compound as yellow crystals.

(E)-3-(5-(4-(3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamino)quinazolin-6-yl)furan-2-yl)-N-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yloxy)acrylamide (21a). Crystallization from acetone. Yield: (0.55 g, 78%); mp $232.8 - 232.9$ °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm) 1.54 (s, br., 3H), 1.70 (s, br, 3H), 3.54 (d, br., 1H, $J = 11.0$ Hz), 3.92-4.02 (m, br, 1H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 5.28 (s, 2H), 6.55 (d, 1H, $J_{trans} = 15.6$ Hz), 7.04 $(d, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz),$ 7.16-7.52 (m, 7H), 7.74 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.5 Hz$, $J = 1.4$ Hz), 7.84 (d, 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 8.01 (d, 1H, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 8.22 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz, $J = 1.4$ Hz), 8.58 (s, 1H), 8.86 (s, 1H), 9.98 (s, 1H, exchangeable), 11.30 (s, 1H, exchangeable). + $p ESI$ m/z (%): 617 [M + H⁺] (39), 615 [M + H⁺] (100). -p ESI m/z (%): 615 $[M - H⁺]$ ⁻ (37), 613 $[M - H⁺]$ ⁻ (100). IR (KBr): 3295, 2950, 1651 cm⁻¹. Anal. ($C_{33}H_{28}CHN_4O_5$ ¹/₂H₂O) C, H, N.

(E)-3-(3-(4-(3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamino)quinazolin-6-yl)phenyl)-N-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yloxy)acrylamide (21c). Yield (0.24 g, 67%) colorless crystals. Purification by cc $(SiO₂, ethyl acetate, CH₂Cl₂ 1:1)$ and crystallization from ethyl acetate. ¹H NMR (DMSO- \bar{d}_6) δ (ppm) 1.55 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 3.54 (m, 1H), 3.96 (m, 1H), 4.94 (s, 1H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 6.68 (d, 1H, $J = 15.8$ Hz), 7.19 (dt, 1H, $J = 2.2$ Hz, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.32 (m, 3H), 7.48 (m, 1H), 7.64 (m, 3H), 7.76 (dd, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz, J = 8.9 Hz), 7.88 (d, 1H, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 7.92 (m, 1H), 8.03 (d, 1H, $J =$ 2.5 Hz), 8.07 (s, 1H), 8.25 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.7$ Hz, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 8.61 $(s, 1H)$, 8.85 (d, 1H, $J = 1.1$ Hz), 9.95 (s, 1H, exchangeable), 11.29 (s, 1H, exchangeable). ES-MS ($DCM/MeOH + 10$ mmol/L NH₄Ac) m/z (%): 625 (100) [M + H⁺]. IR (KBr): 3444, 2872, 1668 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₃₅H₃₀ClFN₄O₄) C, H, N.

Synthesis of N -Hydroxyacrylamides (6a–6d, 8a, and 8b from the Respective (Tetrahydropyran-2-yloxy)amide: Precursors. To a stirred solution of the corresponding (tetrahydropyran-2 yloxy)amide (0.5 mmol) in MeOH (50 mL) was added 1N HCl (50 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Half of the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the precipitating product was filtered off, crystallized from MeOH, and dried in vacuo.

3-{5-[4-(3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamino]quinazolin-6-yl}furan-2-yl)-N-hydroxy-acrylamide Hydrochloride Monohydrate (6a). Yield: (0.20 g, 60%) yellow crystals; mp $190.9 - 192.0$ °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm) 5.32 (s, 2H), 6.62 (d, 1H, $J_{trans}= 15.6$ Hz), 7.01 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 7.19 (dt, 1H, $J = 2.2$ Hz, $J = 8.9$ Hz), 7.20 -7.32 (m, 4H), 7.45 -7.53 (m, 2H), 7.72 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.5$ Hz, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 7.95 (d, 1H, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 7.98 (d, 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 8.40 $(d, 1H, J = 0.8 \text{ Hz}, J = 9.1 \text{ Hz})$, 8.91 (s, 1H), 9.40 (d, 1H, 0.8 Hz), 10.84 (s, 1H, exchangeable), 11,98 (s, 1H, exchangeable). +p ESI m/z (%): 533 [M + H⁺] (38), [M + H⁺] (531). -p ESI m/z (%): 589 $[M + Ac^-]$ ⁻ (91), 565 $[M + Cl^-]$ ⁻ (51), 592 $[M - H^+]$ ⁻ (100). IR (KBr) : 3418, 2855, 1660 cm⁻¹. Anal. $(C_{28}H_{20}CIFN_4O_4 \cdot H_2O \cdot HCl)$ C, H, N, Cl.

 (E) - 3-{3-[4-(3-Chloro-4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylamino)quinazolin-6-yl)phenyl)-N-hydroxyacrylamide (6c). Yield (0.13 g, 88%) yellow crystals. NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm) 5.32 (s, 2H), 6.70 $(d, 1H, J = 15.8 \text{ Hz})$, 7.20 $(dt, 1H, J = 2.1 \text{ Hz}, J = 8.3 \text{ Hz})$, 7.35 $(m, 3H), 7.49$ (dt, 1H, $J = 6.1$ Hz, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.61 (dd, 2H, $J =$ 7.8 Hz, $J = 15.5$ Hz), 7.70 (m, 2H), 7.97 (m, 2H), 8.04 (d, 1H, $J =$ 8.7 Hz), 8.15 (s, 1H), 8.51 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.1$ Hz, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 8.97 $(s, 1H)$, 9.28 (d, 1H, $J = 0.9$ Hz, exchangeable), 10.85 (s, 1H, exchangeable), 11.89 (s, 1H, exchangeable). ES-MS (DCM/ MeOH + 10 mmol/L NH₄Ac) m/z (%): 541 (100) [M + H⁺]. IR (KBr): 3385, 2860, 1662 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₃₀H₂₂ClFN₄O₃ HCl 2H₂O) C, H, N.

Acknowledgment. We thank E. Verdin, Gladstone Institute for Virology and Immunology, San Francisco, California, USA, for providing rHDAC1 and rHDAC6 expressing Hek293 cell lines. We also thank colleagues at ALTANA-Nycomed Discovery Research, in particular U. Bosch and G. Quintini, for providing rHDAC and kinase protein preparations, and C. Burkhardt, H. Wieland, C. Engesser, and H. Julius for excellent technical assistance.

Supporting Information Available: Full experimental details for synthetically preparations, analytical data, and biological test systems. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

(1) Lin, H.-Y.; Chen, C.-S.; Lin, S.-P.; Weng, J.-R.; Chen, C.-S. Targeting Histone Deacetylase in Cancer Therapy. Med. Res. Rev. 2006, 26 (4), 397–413.

- (2) Minucci, S.; Pelicci, P. G. Histone deacetylase inhibitors and the promise of epigenetic (and more) treatments for cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2006, $6(1)$, 38-51.
- (3) Jiahuai, T.; Cang, S.; Ma, Y.; Petrillo, R. L.; Liu, D. Novel histone deacetylase inhibitors in clinical trials as anti-cancer agents J. Hematol. Onco.l 2010, 3, 5.
- (4) Prince, H.M.; Bishton,M. J.; Harrison, S. J. Clinical Studies of Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors. Clin. Cancer Res. 2009, 15 (12), 3958–3969.
- (5) Bots, M.; Johnstone, R. W. Rational Combinations Using HDAC Inhibitors. Clin. Cancer Res. 2009, 15 (12), 3970–3977.
- (6) Mercurio, C.; Minucci, S.; Pelicci, P. G. Histone deacetylases and epigenetic therapies of hematological malignancies. Pharm. Res. 2010, 62 (1), 18–34.
- (7) Morgan, M. A.; Reuter, C. W. Molecularly targeted therapies in myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myeloid leukemias. Ann. Hematol. 2006, 85 (3), 139-163.
- Johnstone, R. W.; Licht, J. D. Histone deacetylase inhibitors in cancer therapy: is transcription the primary target? Cancer Cell 2003 , $4(1)$, 13–18. Sorbera, L. A. Epigenetic target as an approach to cancer therapy
- and chemoprevention. Drugs Future 2006, 31 (4), 335-344.
- (10) Ma, B. B. Y.; Sung, F.; Tao, Q.; Poon, F. F.; Lui, V. W.; Yeo, W.; Chan, S. L.; Chan, A. T. C. The preclinical activity of the histone deacetylase inhibitor PXD101 (belinostat) in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines. Investigational New Drugs 2010, 28 (2), 107–114.
- (11) Buggy, J. J.; Cao, Z. A.; Bass, K. E.; Verner, E.; Balasubramanian, S.; Liu, L.; Schultz, B. E.; Young, P. R.; Dalrymple, S. A. CRA-024781: a novel synthetic inhibitor of histone deacetylase enzymes with antitumor activity in vitro and in vivo. Mol Cancer Ther. 2006, 5 (5), 1309–1317.
- (12) Atadja, P. W. Use of HDAC inhibitors for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia and/or myelodysplastic syndrome. PCT Int. Appl. (2010) WO 2010009285 A1.
- (13) Geyer, C.; Forster, J.;Lindquist,D.; Chan, S.;Romieu, C.; Pienkowski, T.; Jagiello-Gruszfeld, A.; Crown, J.; Chan, A.; Kaufman, B.; Skarlos, D.; Campone, M.; Davidson, N.; Berger, M.; Oliva, C.; Rubin, S.; Stein, S.; Cameron, D. Lapatinib plus capecitabine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2006, 355 (26), 2733–2743.
- (14) Nishino, S.; Hirotsu, K.; Shima, H.; Harada, T.; Oda, H.; Takahashi, T.; Suzuki, S. Process for producing 3,4-dihydroquinazolin-4-one derivatives. PCT Int. Appl. WO2003064399 A1, 2003.
- (15) Nishino, S.; Hirotsu, K.; Shima, H.; Harada, T.; Oda, H. Process for producing 4-aminoquinazoline compound by chlorination of quinazolin-4-one or its derivative and amination. PCT Int. Appl. WO 2003066602, 2003.
- (16) Wallace, E.; Topolov, G.; Zhao, Q.; Lyssikatos, J. P. Preparation of cyanoguanidines and cyanoamidines as ErbB2 and EGFR inhibitors. US 2005101617, 2005.
- (17) Hosoya, T.; Aoyama, H.; Ikemoto, T.; Kihara, Y.; Hiramatsu, T.; Endo, M.; Suzuki, M. Danthrone Analogues Revisted: General Synthesis and Specific Functions Capable of Discriminating Two Kinds of Ca^{2+} Release from Sarcoplasmic Rerticulum of Mouse Skeletal Muscle. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2003, 11, 663-673.
- (18) Zhang, J.; Xiong, C.; Ying, J.; Wang, W.; Hruby, V. J. Stereoselective Synthesis of Novel Dipeptide β-Turn Mimetics Targeting Melanocortin Peptide Receptors. Org. Lett. 2003, 5 (17), 3115–3118.
- (19) Castro,B.;Dormoy, J.R.;Evin,G.; Selve, C. Peptide coupling reagents. IV. N-[Oxytris(dimethylamino)phosphonium]benzotriazole hexafluorophosphate. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 14, 1219–1222.
- (20) Petasis, N. A.; Patel, Z. D. Synthesis of piperazinones and benzopiperazinones from 1,2-diamines and organoboronic acids. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 9607–9611.
- (21) Mahboobi, S.; Sellmer, A.; Winkler, M.; Eichhorn, E.; Pongratz, H.; Ciossek, T.; Baer, T.; T., M.; Beckers, T. Novel designed chimeric HDAC- and tyrosine kinase inhibitors: A series of Imatinib hybrides as potent inhibitors of wild-type and mutant BCR-ABL, PDGF-R β and histone deacetylases. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52 (8), 2265–2279.
- (22) Beckers, T.; Burkhardt, C.; Wieland, H.; Gimmnich, P.; Ciossek, T.; Maier, T.; Sanders, K. Distinct pharmacological properties of 2nd generation HDAC inhibitors with the benzamide or hydroxamate head group. Int. J. Cancer 2007, 121, 1138-1148.
- (23) Wegener, D.; Wirsching, F.; Riester, D.; Schwienhorst, A. A fluorogenic histone deacetylase assay well suited for high-throughput activity screening. Chem. Biol. 2003 , 10 (1), 61-68.
- (24) Braunger, J.; Zoche, M.; Schmidt; Wiesbacher, M.; Burkhardt, C.; Gekeler, V.; Beckers, T. AACR Annual Conference 2003, Abstract 4556.
- (25) O'Brian, J.; Wilson, I.; Orton, T.; Pognan, F. Investigation of the Alamar Blue (resazurin) fluorescent dye for the assessment of mammalian cell toxicity. Eur. J. Biochem. 2000, 267 (17), 5421–5426.
- (26) Roschangar, F.; Brown, J. C.; Cooley, B. E.; Sharp, M. J.; Matsuoka, R. T. Use of lithium N,O-dimethylhydroxylamide as an efficient in situ protecting agent for aromatic aldehydes. Tetrahedron 2002, 58 (9), 1657–1666.